

## United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/638,587	08/15/2000	Joseph F. Scalisi	30639.2600 5402	
7:	590 06/03/2003			•
Laura J Zeman Snell & Wilmer LLP One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202			EXAMINER	
			BANGACHON, WILLIAM L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1110011111,122			2635	57
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/638,587	SCALISI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William Bangachon	2635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 August 2000</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
1 '-	8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
10)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)  A)   Interview Summer: (BTO 412) Bener No(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 3			

Application/Control Number: 09/638,587

Art Unit: 2635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method for generating two-way text messaging between a caller and a subscriber of a call receiving pager apparatus, classified in class 340, subclass 7.21.
  - II. Claims 5-10, drawn to a call receiving pager apparatus operative in a radiotelephone communications system, classified in class 455, subclass 408.
  - II. Claims 11-17, drawn to a call receiving paging apparatus comprising a housing including a printed circuit board contained therein and a detachable module, classified in class 340, subclass 7.63.

The inventions as claimed are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. The claimed inventions I, II and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a computer for sending email messages. Invention II has separate utility such as a telephone for making telephone calls. Invention III has separate utility such as a housing for a car/portable radio. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/638,587

Art Unit: 2635

3. Because these inventions (I and III vs. II) are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to the applicant on April 24 and May 1, 20 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an **election of the invention to be examined** even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/638,587

Art Unit: 2635

Examiner Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William Bangachon whose telephone number is 703-

305-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/4/10.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314

for regular and After Final formal communications. The examiner's fax number is 703-

746-6071 for informal communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4700.

William L Bangachon Examiner

Art Unit 2635

June 1, 2003

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Martine World

Page 4